



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/686,970

10/16/2003

Rudolf Pachl

5727-73662

8089

49437 7590 03/13/2008  
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP (Roche)  
11 SOUTH MERIDAN STREET  
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

EXAMINER

RAMILLANO, LORE JANET

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/13/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/686,970	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PACHL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LORE RAMILLANO	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LORE RAMILLANO. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John Breen. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21, 32, 33, and 35.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moorman and Fleming.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gave a brief overview of the claimed invention. Applicant then made the following arguments: Moorman does not disclose the claimed language recited in the first paragraph of claim 21 and the last paragraph of claim 32; and Fleming does not disclose a control substance or a reagent that is capable of performing the functions recited in claim 32. Examiner told applicant that she will consider applicant's arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jill Warden/ SPE, Art Unit 1797

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required